

Hye Heo, MD Physician Informaticist and Director of Diabetes in NYU Langone Hospital -Long Island Mineola, NY nye.heo@nyulangone.org

Agata Kantorowska, MD

Maternal Fetal Medicine Fellow NYU Langone Hospital – Long Island Mineola, NY agata.kantorowska@nyulangone.org

Remote Patient Monitoring for Diabetes in Pregnancy Improves Outcomes

Learning Objectives

- Describe how implementation of remote patient monitoring (RPM) for outpatient management of diabetes in pregnancy can improve maternal and neonatal outcomes
- Illustrate the application of technological solutions using the electronic health record platform and mobile health apps to complement remote patient monitoring services and improve care

Background

- Diabetes affects 6-10% of pregnancies in the U.S. and is associated with maternal and neonatal morbidities^{1,2}
- Close maternal glycemic monitoring and timely treatment mitigates these risks
- **Barriers**: Patients are typically diagnosed in 3rd trimester and need to attain proficiency in diabetes management within a limited time frame
- Clinicians rely on patient-reported glucose logs for surveillance and management
- Traditional management utilizes paper logs of glucose values that patients periodically bring into office for review
- Remote patient monitoring is a telehealth modality that allows clinicians to monitor, report, and analyze a patient's acute or chronic condition from outside the hospital or clinic setting
- Critical enabler to home-based care
- **Goal:** Transition from paper-based monitoring to RPM for management of diabetes at NYU Langone Hospital Long Island Diabetes In Pregnancy Program
- Improve patient experience
- Facilitate easier glycemic surveillance
- Improve maternal and neonatal clinical outcomes





90.0% 80.0% 70.0% 60.0% 50.0% 40.0% 30.0% 20.0% 10.0%

0.0%





Using remote patient monitoring technology to manage diabetes in pregnancy improves maternal glycemic control and decreases neonatal hypoglycemia.

RPM vs Paper Glucose Logs: Maternal Glycemic Control, Pre-eclampsia, and Neonatal Hypoglycemia



Intervention

Before Dec 2019 Glucose log returned via email, fax, and ir person during visits

Dec 2019 Glucose log returned via EMR based mobile App

Feb 2020 **Remote Glucose** Monitoring

March 2020 Telemedicine visit made available

- Implemented RPM technology for glycemic surveillance in pregnancy
- Established an operational workflow for enrollment, education, surveillance, management, and tracking of patients
- Partnered with Health System IT to augment the existing EHR-based RPM platform, including creation of provider pools, enhanced RPM documentation encounters, and reporting tools
- Built-in safety features:
- Abnormal value warning
- Triggers for more expeditious review
- Leveraged device integration and telemedicine to augment services

Outcome

Patients managed with RPM:

- Were more likely to achieve glycemic control (**79.2%** vs 59.0%)
- Submitted more glucose values (**177** vs 146)
- Achieved glucose in target range sooner (**3.3 weeks** vs 4.1 weeks)
- Had a decreased rate of preeclampsia (5.8% vs 15%)
- Gave birth to neonates less likely to experience hypoglycemia (**29.8%** vs 51.7%)

Lessons Learned

- RPM for diabetes in pregnancy is superior to a paper-based approach
- Partnership with the Medical Center Information Technology team is critical to success of RPM programs
- Combining clinical expertise with innovative technological solutions is key

Key Takeaways

Application of remote patient monitoring to pregnancyrelated conditions is integral to improving maternal and neonatal outcomes

References

- 1. Diabetes During Pregnancy. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Division of Reproductive Health. Updated June 12, 2018. Accessed November 27, 2022.
- https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/diabetes-during-pregnancy.htm Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics. Practice Bulletin 190: Gestational diabetes mellitus. Obstet Gynecol 2018;131(2):e49-e64.

* p<.001

No one in a position to control the content of this educational activity has relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.



Glycemic control characteristics of study groups: standard diabetic management with paper glucose logs vs remote patient monitoring

Characteristics

Percentage of mothers who ac glycemic control

Number of blood glucose valu

Mean number of glucose value

Number of encounters for main diabetes:

In-person

Telemedicine

Phone calls or messages

Total

Number of weeks until glycem control achieved

Gestational age when glycemic achieved (weeks)

Percentage of mothers started

Percentage of mothers started

Number of changes to glycem

	Remote Patient Monitoring (n=360)	Paper glucose logs (n=173)	P value
chieved	285 (79.2%)	90 (52.0%)	<.0001
les recorded	177 (116–260)	146 (95–235)	.008
es per week	22.6 (17.5–26.2)	22.3 (18.4–25.8)	.685
nagement of			
	0 (0–1)	2 (2–3)	<.0001
	3 (1–3)	0 (0–0)	<.0001
	9 (6–14)	5 (3–9)	<.0001
	12 (9–17)	8 (5–12)	<.0001
nic	3.3 (2.1–5.5)	4.1 (2.6–6.8)	.025
c control	32.7 (30.3–34.7)	32.8 (30.4–35.9)	.472
l on metformin	100 (27.8%)	48 (27.8%)	.994
l on insulin	103 (28.7%)	49 (28.3%)	.930
nic management	4 (2–10)	4 (2–8)	.455



Pregnancy, delivery, and maternal outcomes

Outcomes	Remote Patient Monitoring (n=360)	Paper glucose logs (n=173)	P value
Antenatal corticosteroids	26 (7.2%)	9 (5.2%)	.378
Gestational hypertension	21 (5.8%)	5 (2.9%)	.201
Preeclampsia	21 (5.8%)	26 (15.0%)	.0008
Gestational age at delivery (wk)	38.3±1.7	38.4±1.5	.543
Induction of labor	136 (37.8%)	58 (33.5%)	.340
Mode of delivery:			
NSVD	187 (51.9%)	82 (47.4%)	
Operative delivery	6 (1.7%)	6 (3.5%)	.451
Cesarean delivery	162 (45.0%)	82 (47.4%)	
VBAC	5 (1.4%)	3 (1.7%)	
Third- or fourth-degree laceration	7 (1.9%)	3 (1.7%)	1.000
Shoulder dystocia	2 (0.6%)	2 (1.2%)	.599
Chorioamnionitis or endometritis	6 (1.7%)	7 (4.1%)	.131
Postpartum hemorrhage requiring blood transfusion	5 (1.4%)	3 (1.7%)	.719
Postpartum hysterectomy	0	0	1.000
Wound infection or separation	0	0	1.000
VTE	0	0	1.000
Maternal admission to ICU	1 (0.28%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000

Neonatal outcomes

Outcomes

	Birthweight (g)
	Birthweight percentile
le	Ponderal index
	LGA
	Apgar, 1 min
	Apgar, 5 min
8	First neonatal glucose:
	<30
	30–45
	>45
	Neonatal hypoglycemia
	Hyperbilirubinemia requiring
	Umbilical cord pH < 7.00
0	5 min Apgar <7
	Respiratory morbidity
	Meconium aspiration
	Intraventricular hemorrhage
	Necrotizing enterocolitis
0	Sepsis
0	Pneumonia
0	Seizures
0	Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy
	Trauma
	Brain or body cooling
	NICU admission

Remote Patient Monitoring (n=360)	Paper glucose logs (n=173)	P value
3184.9±535.4	3264.1±507.3	.104
45.5 (23–73)	56 (26–78)	.048
3.0±7.1	2.5±0.3	.283
43 (11.9%)	23 (13.3%)	.658
9 (9–9)	9 (9–9)	.643
9 (9–9)	9 (9–9)	.406
16 (4.5%)	4 (2.3%)	
65 (18.1%)	41 (23.8%)	.173
278 (77.4%)	121 (73.8%)	
107 (29.8%)	89 (51.7%)	<.0001
47 (13.1%)	33 (19.1%)	.069
1 (0.3%)	2 (1.5%)	.205
3 (0.8%)	1 (0.6%)	1.000
24 (6.7%)	16 (9.3%)	.294
0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000
3 (0.9%)	2 (1.2%)	.662
1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000
1 (0.3%)	1 (0.6%)	.544
0 (0.0%)	1 (0.6%)	.323
0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000
0 (0.0%)	1 (0.6%)	.325
1 (0.3%)	2 (1.2%)	.248
0 (0.0%)	1 (0.6%)	.325
66 (18.3%)	39 (22.5%)	.524